clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

David Pievsky KC



"David has excellent client handling skills and is very approachable. He takes a well-rounded view of each matters and considers political and commercial factors as well as the legal elements of a claim."

— LEGAL 500, 2026

Year of call:	**2001**
Appointed to silk:	**2020**
Degree:	**MPhil (Cantab)**
Languages:	**French (working knowledge)**

David Pievsky's practice encompasses Administrative and Public Law, Civil Liberties & Human Rights, Immigration & Nationality, Regulation and Professional Discipline, and Employment. He has substantial experience of appearing in the High Court, Court of Appeal, Privy Council and Supreme Court.

David took silk in 2020 and was appointed a Deputy High Court Judge in 2021.

As a junior, David was on the Attorney General's panels of Civil Counsel to the Crown for over 10 years, and the A panel from 2013 onwards.

David is recognised for his expertise and ranked in both leading independent legal directories, Chambers and Partners and the Legal 500. Recent comments include:

- "David has excellent client handling skills and is very approachable. He takes a well-rounded view of each matters and considers political and commercial factors as well as the legal elements of a claim."- Legal 500, 2026
- "David is commercially aware and user-friendly."- Legal 500, 2025
- "David has a fierce intellect and exceptional drafting skills. He has a very collaborative approach to work."- Chambers & Partners, 2025

Previous comments include:

- "An incredibly insightful silk, who is tenacious in fighting his client's corner."- Legal 500, 2024
- "He shows incredible judgement and goes the extra mile for his clients."- Chambers & Partners, 2024
- "David is very clever and very thorough. He's an exceptionally creative lawyer and he sees interesting points that others miss."- Legal 500, 2023

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

EXPERIENCE

Public & Regulatory

David is identified as a Leading Silk in the latest edition of Legal 500 for his expertise in Administrative Law and Human Rights. He has a diverse public law practice with a broad client base including governments, regulators, public authorities, companies, charities, and individuals.

In recent years he has appeared as leading counsel in the Privy Counsel in cases relating to the right of access to court, the right to respect for private life, and constitutional anti-discrimination rights, and in a case about the duty of candour in judicial review. During 2024 he appeared for Shell UK in proceedings arising out of direct action by environmental protestors against Shell assets. He previously appeared in the Supreme Court in cases relating to Crown Immunity, the reach of positive human rights obligations, taxpayer confidentiality, and public order law; and in the Court of Appeal in cases about legal professional privilege, the human rights compatibility of legislation designed to discourage illegal immigration, and the regulation of professionals who work in more than one jurisdiction.

David's current and ongoing cases raise issues about the boundaries of freedom of expression on social media, antisemitism, the fairness and appropriateness of Ofsted's judgments about religious schools, and the fairness at common law and under the ECHR of regulators' rules and procedures.

> ***"David has a fierce intellect and exceptional drafting skills. He has a very collaborative approach to work."***
>
> — CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS, 2025

Cases

Bush v Attorney General of the Cayman Islands

[2025] UKPC 39

Leading counsel for the successful Respondent in this Privy Council case concerning whether legislation which provided unfair dismissal rights to some but not all employees violated the Cayman Islands Constitution. The case turned on arguments concerning the right of access to court, and discrimination within the ambit of private life.

National Bank of Anguilla (Private Banking and Trust) Ltd v Chief Minister of Anguilla

[2025] UKPC 14

Leading counsel for the successful Appellant in this Privy Council case which arose out of the Anguillan Government's legislative rescue package which had been intended to protect all depositors of a failing national bank. The Appellant, a depositor, had not been included in the rescue package for reasons which were unclear, and sought to challenge the Government's failure to include it. The appeal turned on issues including the duty of candour and the role of an appellate Court when considering whether to grant leave to bring judicial review.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Shell v Greenpeace

(2024)

Acted for Shell in proceedings in the Commercial Court, arising out of the actions of environmental protestors directed against Shell assets in the North Sea. The case settled.

R (Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

[2020] EWCA Civ 542

The Court of Appeal allowed the Secretary of State's appeal against the High Court's conclusion that the "right to rent" scheme, set out in sections 20-37 of the Immigration Act 2014, is incompatible with Article 14 ECHR (read with Article 8). The case raised issues about the "ambit" requirement in Article 14 cases, the correct approach to justification in the context of social measures, and Parliament's responsibility (or otherwise) for the acts of private citizens. David acted for the successful Secretary of State.

R (AIMS) v DEFRA

[2017] EWHC 1961 (Admin)

Acted as sole counsel against Hugh Mercer QC in high profile judicial review proceedings in the High Court, concerning the compatibility of UK Halal slaughter methods with European and domestic law animal welfare standards.

R (Black) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2017] UKSC 81

Acted (with James Eadie QC) for the Secretary of State. The Supreme Court considered the question of Crown Immunity and whether it applied to the prohibitions on smoking set out in Part 1 of the Health Act 2006. The Court accepted submissions made on behalf of the Secretary of State to the effect that the Crown is not bound by Statute unless that is made clear expressly or by necessary implication.

R (DSD) v Met Police

[2018] UKSC 11

Acted for the intervening Secretary of State for Justice in this case concerning whether the state (through the police) had breached duties to conduct reasonable investigations into allegations of ill-treatment, derived from Article 3 ECHR, to women who were attacked by a private citizen (the multiple rapist John Worboys).

Mitsui and others v Mayor's Office for Policing and Crime

[2016] UKSC 18 [2016] 1488

Acted (with Lord Pannick QC and Sam Grodzinski QC) for the London Mayor in litigation brought under the Riot Damages Act 1886 concerning the recoverability of damages for consequential loss. The Supreme Court allowed the Mayor's appeal, ruling that such damages are not recoverable under the 1886 Act.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

R (Lee) v General Medical Council

[2018] EWCA Civ 99

Acted for the (successful) General Medical Council, in judicial review proceedings concerning the proper interpretation of the Council's rules about how to deal with the determinations of foreign regulatory bodies, and the '5 year rule' applicable to allegations of impaired fitness to practise. The case also raised questions of principle relating to the procedural rules on delay in judicial review proceedings.

R (Morgan) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2016] EWHC 106 (Admin)

Successfully defended a judicial review claim concerning the challenge for the authorities of assessing a prisoner's prospects of rehabilitation, where he continues to protest his innocence of the index offence.

Bingham Centre v Home Office

(EA/2014/0097)

Acted for the successful Home Office in a case about whether privileged legal advice concerning the admissibility of intercept evidence should be released under the Freedom of Information Act.

Individuals Working with Children

Currently advising the government as sole counsel on a number of claims brought in the European Court of Human Rights relating to the list of individuals who are barred from working with children or vulnerable adults (2015 and ongoing).

R (Ingenious Media Holdings plc) v HMRC

[2016] 1 WLR 4164

Acted (with James Eadie QC) in proceedings arising out of HMRC's treatment of film finance schemes.

R (Greenwich Borough Council) v Secretary of State

(2015)

Acted with James Eadie QC in this challenge to a Direction made under new legislative powers for regulating the publishing of publicity by local authorities. Case settled by consent.

Smith, Ellis, Allbutt and others v Ministry of Defence

[2014] AC 52

Acted with James Eadie QC in an appeal to the Supreme Court raising jurisdictional issues under the European Convention on Human Rights; the reach of the substantive obligation to preserve life under Article 2 in the context of active military operations; and the common law doctrine of combat immunity.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

AJA and others v Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis

[2014] 1 WLR 285, CA

Acted (with Monica Carss-Frisk QC) for the successful Applicant Police Commissioner, in a human rights dispute about the proper jurisdiction of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal in a case raising allegations about the conduct of undercover police officers.

Vieira v Secretary of State Justice

(2014)

Appeared for the Secretary of State for Justice in a two week trial concerning a prisoner's allegations of homophobic treatment at the hands of both prison officers and other prisoners.

R (D) v GMC

[EWHC] 2839 (Admin)

Acted for the GMC in a case raising difficult questions about old allegations of child abuse, and whether they could be revisited in light of Rule 4(5) of the GMC's Rules.

DIL v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis

[2014] EWHC 2186 (QB)

Acted (with Monica Carss-Frisk QC) in claims raising the status of the "neither confirm nor deny" approach to allegations made about alleged covert surveillance operations.

Brooks v Ministry of Defence

(EA/2014/0261)

Acted (with Charles Bourne QC) in a case brought by Private Eye seeking disclosure of information relating to the MOD's dealings with the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The Information Tribunal agreed with the MOD's argument that release of the requested information would undermine international relations between the UK and Saudi Arabia, and dismissed the complaint.

Sittampalam v Criminal Prosecution Service

(EA/2014/0001)

Appeared for the CPS in a case concerning the so-called "Twitter joke trial". The Tribunal accepted the CPS's argument that the information sought by the claimant should not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, despite it being of interest, as it was exempt by virtue of s30(1)(c) of that Act.

R (SF) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2013] EWCA Civ 1275

Appeared for the Secretary of State for Justice in a dispute about the circumstances in which judicial review claimants should be entitled to anonymity.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Civil Liberties & Human Rights

David is identified as a Leading Silk in the latest edition of Legal 500 for his expertise in Administrative Law and Human Rights. He has a diverse public law practice with a broad client base including governments, regulators, public authorities, companies, charities, and individuals.

"A strong, smart advocate."
— CHAMBERS UK, 2023

In recent years he has appeared in the Privy Counsel in cases about the right of access to court, the right to respect for private life, constitutional anti-discrimination rights, and the requirements of candour in judicial review. During 2024 he appeared for Shell UK in proceedings arising out of direct action by environmental protestors against Shell assets. He previously appeared in the Supreme Court in cases relating to Crown Immunity, the reach of positive human rights obligations, taxpayer confidentiality, and public order law; and in the Court of Appeal in cases about legal professional privilege, the human rights compatibility of legislation designed to discourage illegal immigration, and the regulation of professionals who work in more than one jurisdiction.

David's current and ongoing cases raise issues about the boundaries of freedom of expression, both during protests and on social media (particularly by professionals), the law relating to antisemitism, the fairness and appropriateness of Ofsted's judgments about religious schools, and the fairness at common law and under the ECHR of regulators' rules and procedures.

Cases

Bush v Attorney General of the Cayman Islands

[2025] UKPC 39

Leading counsel for the successful Respondent in this Privy Council case concerning whether legislation which provided unfair dismissal rights to some but not all employees violated the Cayman Islands Constitution. The case turned on arguments concerning the right of access to court, and discrimination within the ambit of private life.

National Bank of Anguilla (Private Banking and Trust) Ltd v Chief Minister of Anguilla

[2025] UKPC 14

Leading counsel for the successful Appellant in this Privy Council case which arose out of the Anguillan Government's legislative rescue package which had been intended to protect all depositors of a failing national bank. The Appellant, a depositor, had not been included in the rescue package for reasons which were unclear, and sought to challenge the Government's failure to include it. The appeal turned on issues including the duty of candour and the role of an appellate Court when considering whether to grant leave to bring judicial review.

Shell v Greenpeace

(2024)

Acted for Shell in proceedings in the Commercial Court, arising out of the actions of environmental protestors directed against Shell assets in the North Sea. The case settled.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

R (Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

[2020] EWCA Civ 542

The Court of Appeal allowed the Secretary of State's appeal against the High Court's conclusion that the "right to rent" scheme, set out in sections 20-37 of the Immigration Act 2014, is incompatible with Article 14 ECHR (read with Article 8). The case raised issues about the "ambit" requirement in Article 14 cases, the correct approach to justification in the context of social measures, and Parliament's responsibility (or otherwise) for the acts of private citizens. David acted for the successful Secretary of State.

Harvey v UK

[2017] (ECtHR, Judgment 21 November 2017)

Acted for the (successful) United Kingdom in an application brought in the European Court of Human Rights. The case concerns UK legislation designed to protect children and vulnerable people by creating lists of individuals who were not allowed to work in particular sectors (e.g. children's barred list, adults barred list).

R (Black) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2017] UKSC 81

Acted (with James Eadie QC) for the Secretary of State. The Supreme Court considered the question of Crown Immunity and whether it applied to the prohibitions on smoking set out in Part 1 of the Health Act 2006. The Court accepted submissions made on behalf of the Secretary of State to the effect that the Crown is not bound by Statute unless that is made clear expressly or by necessary implication.

XYZ v MOD

[2017] (settled proceedings)

Acted for the MOD in proceedings brought concerning the MOD's anti-piracy operations off the coast of Somalia. The case raised questions of domestic, ECHR and international law.

R (DSD) v Met Police

[2018] UKSC 11

Acted for the intervening Secretary of State for Justice in this case concerning whether the state (through the police) had breached duties to conduct reasonable investigations into allegations of ill-treatment, derived from Article 3 ECHR, to women who were attacked by a private citizen (the multiple rapist John Worboys).

R (Gourlay) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2016] EWHC 1957 (Admin)

Acted for the government in a claim concerning how to achieve the rehabilitation of a prisoner who is in total denial of the index offence of which he was convicted. The claim was based on Article 5(4) of the ECHR and in particular the so-called Kaiyam duty.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

R (Morgan) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2016] EWHC 106 (Admin)

Successfully defended a judicial review claim concerning the challenge for the authorities of assessing a prisoner's prospects of rehabilitation, where he continues to protest his innocence of the index offence.

Individuals Working with Children

Currently advising the government as sole counsel on a number of claims brought in the European Court of Human Rights relating to the list of individuals who are barred from working with children or vulnerable adults (2015 and ongoing).

R (Ingenious Media Holdings plc) v HMRC

[2016] 1 WLR 4164

Acted (with James Eadie QC) in proceedings arising out of HMRC's treatment of film finance schemes.

Smith, Ellis, Allbutt and others v Ministry of Defence

[2014] AC 52

Acted with James Eadie QC in an appeal to the Supreme Court raising jurisdictional issues under the European Convention on Human Rights; the reach of the substantive obligation to preserve life under Article 2 in the context of active military operations; and the common law doctrine of combat immunity.

AJA and others v Commissioner of Police for the Metropolis

[2014] 1 WLR 285, CA

Acted (with Monica Carss-Frisk QC) for the successful Applicant Police Commissioner, in a human rights dispute about the proper jurisdiction of the Investigatory Powers Tribunal in a case raising allegations about the conduct of undercover police officers.

Vieira v Secretary of State Justice

(2014)

Appeared for the Secretary of State for Justice in a two week trial concerning a prisoner's allegations of homophobic treatment at the hands of both prison officers and other prisoners.

DIL v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis

[2014] EWHC 2186 (QB)

Acted (with Monica Carss-Frisk QC) in claims raising the status of the "neither confirm nor deny" approach to allegations made about alleged covert surveillance operations.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

R (SF) v Secretary of State for Justice

[2013] EWCA Civ 1275

Appeared for the Secretary of State for Justice in a dispute about the circumstances in which judicial review claimants should be entitled to anonymity.

Investigations & Inquiries

David has experience of conducting sensitive and confidential internal investigations, including in relation to complaints made by an employee at work, and to sensitive complaints made in the context of the work of government departments.

Immigration

David covers many Immigration and Nationality cases.

Cases

R (Joint Council for the Welfare of Immigrants) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

[2020] EWCA Civ 542

The Court of Appeal allowed the Secretary of State's appeal against the High Court's conclusion that the "right to rent" scheme, set out in sections 20-37 of the Immigration Act 2014, is incompatible with Article 14 ECHR (read with Article 8). The case raised issues about the "ambit" requirement in Article 14 cases, the correct approach to justification in the context of social measures, and Parliament's responsibility (or otherwise) for the acts of private citizens. David acted for the successful Secretary of State.

MJ (Nigeria) v Secretary of State for the Home Department

(settled by consent)

Advising and representing the Secretary of State in relation to Court of Appeal proceedings concerning the interests of the child as a primary consideration.

Basma et al

(ongoing)

Advising as to citizenship issues under s4B of the British Nationality Act 1981, in light of erroneously issued UK passports.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Professional Discipline

David has substantial experience of acting in judicial review cases raising regulatory issues, and also in regulatory and/or disciplinary proceedings or appeals themselves.

"A good communicator and a polished advocate"
— LEGAL 500, 2016

Cases

Negligent Investment Advice & Negligent Mortgage Advice

Currently advising the Financial Services Compensation Scheme as to public law issues arising out of the distinction between negligent investment advice (which is not covered by the scheme) and negligent mortgage advice (which is).

R (Lee) v General Medical Council

[2018] EWCA Civ 99

Acted for the (successful) General Medical Council, in judicial review proceedings concerning the proper interpretation of the Council's rules about how to deal with the determinations of foreign regulatory bodies, and the '5 year rule' applicable to allegations of impaired fitness to practise. The case also raised questions of principle relating to the procedural rules on delay in judicial review proceedings.

Khan v GMC

[2014] EWHC 591

Appeared for the GMC in a case about the suspension of a doctor for the improper and dishonest use of prescriptions.

X v FCA

(2013)

Acted (with Javan Herberg QC) for an individual who had referred a decision of the FCA to the Tribunal.

R (D) v GMC

[EWHC] 2839 (Admin)

Acted for the GMC in a case raising difficult questions about old allegations of child abuse, and whether they could be revisited in light of Rule 4(5) of the GMC's Rules.

Qureshi v GMC

(unreported, 30 April 2013)

Acted for the successful GMC in an appeal against a suspension decision arising out of complaints following two medical consultations.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Siddiqui v GMC

[2013] EWHC 1083 (Admin)

Acted for the successful GMC in a case about dishonesty arising out of the alleged alteration of patient notes by a doctor.

Luthra v GMC

[2013] EWHC 240(Admin)

Acted for the successful GMC in an appeal against a decision to erase a doctor for failing to maintain adequate professional standards.

Kumar v GMC

[2013] EWHC 452 (Admin)

Acted for the GMC, successfully resisting a doctor's application to revoke an order imposing conditions on his registration, arising out of allegedly substandard treatment in relation to a number of patients.

Employment

David acts for employers and employees in cases raising a wide range of employment law issues.

He has acted in many statutory tribunal claims and has also worked on restrictive covenant cases including injunctive work.

David's clients have included Barclays Capital, Commerzbank, the Law Society, Linklaters, Network Rail, Tesco, Carphone Warehouse, Vodafone, ABN AMRO, Barclays, the University of Warwick, Odeon Cinemas Limited, the National Association of Head Teachers, and Manchester Airport.

David is also a member of the ELAAS scheme (and has worked with the Bar Pro Bono Unit) and has appeared several times in the EAT on a pro bono basis.

"Exceptionally bright with strong technical knowledge"

— LEGAL 500, 2016

Cases

Hixon v IBM UK Ltd

(2014)

Acted (with Paul Goulding QC) in two whistleblowing claims brought in the Employment Tribunal. Claims settled during the trial.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Hattam v All England Law Tennis Club

(2013)

Acted for the successful Respondent employer in this case about alleged age discrimination against an employee at the Wimbledon Tennis Championship.

Sud v London Borough of Ealing

[2013] EWCA Civ 949; The Times, 23.10.13

Acted pro bono for the Claimant in an appeal to the Court of Appeal raising questions about disability discrimination (post-Malcolm, but pre-Equality Act) and about the proper exercise of the discretion to award costs in an Employment Tribunal.

ACHIEVEMENTS

Education

BA in History (Cambridge University), 1st ClassMPhil in Political Thought (Cambridge University), DistinctionGDL (City University) and BPTC (ICSL)

Publications

- What does taking into account Strasbourg jurisprudence really mean? [2012] JR 214
- Lester and Pannick, Human Rights Law and Practice (3rd Edn, Lexis Nexis, 2009) (co-author of chapters on Articles 3, 4, and 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights)
- Tolley's Discrimination in Employment Law Handbook (LexisNexis Butterworths, 2008) (chapters 5 (marital status and civil partnership) and 9 (sexual orientation))
- Public Law Update [2006] Solicitors Journal SJ Vol. 150 No. 19 pp. 636-7 (co-author with Mike Fordham)
- Three Rivers and its implications for legal professional privilege [2005] PTPR 7
- Focus on Article 3 ECHR [2005] JR 169
- Legitimate Expectations as a Relevancy [2003] JR 144
- The Impact of the Human Rights Act 1998 on Judicial Review [2003] JR 221 (co-author with Tom de la Mare).

Appointments

David took silk in 2020, and was appointed a Deputy High Court Judge in 2021.

David was appointed to the Equality & Human Rights Commission's panel of counsel in 2024.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

Memberships

- Administrative Law Bar Association
- Employment Lawyers' Association

Selected earlier reported cases

Public & Regulatory

- R (Moos and McLure) v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis [2012] EWCA Civ 12
- Grant and Gleaves v Ministry of Justice [2011] EWHC 3379 (QB)
- R (Garland) v Secretary of State for Justice [2011] Civ 1335
- Seldon v Clarkson Wright and Jakes [2011] ICR 60
- Fisher v Dorset PCT [2011] PHL/15323
- Bergonzi v Metropolitan Police Commissioner
- R (Milner) v South Central Strategic Health Authority [2011] EWHC 218 (Admin)
- R (Rowe) v Parole Board [2010] EWHC 524
- Attorney General's Reference (No. 3 of 1999) [2010] 1 AC 145
- R (Unison) v Monitor [2009] EWHC 3221 (Admin)
- R (Tabernacle) v MOD [2009] EWCA Civ 23, The Times 25 February 2009
- R (Gulliver) v Parole Board [2007] EWCA Civ 1386
- R (Gray) v Legal Services Ombudsman [2007] EWHC 215 (Admin)
- Blum and others v Director of Public Prosecutions [2006] EWHC 3209 (Admin)
- R (Singh) v Chief Constable of West Midlands Police [2006] EWCA Civ 532 [2006] 1 WLR 3374
- R (Haw) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2006] EWCA Civ 532 [2006] QB 780
- R (Boughton and others) v HM Treasury [2006] EWCA Civ 504
- R (Elias) v Secretary of State for Defence [2005] EWHC 1435 (Admin), The Times, August 25, 2005
- R (T Mobile and others) v The Competition Commission and The Director-General of Telecommunications [2003] EWHC 1566 (Admin)

Civil Liberties & Human Rights

- R (Moos and McLure) v Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis [2012] EWCA Civ 12
- Grant and Gleaves v Ministry of Justice [2011] EWHC 3379 (QB)
- R (Garland) v Secretary of State for Justice [2011] Civ 1335
- Seldon v Clarkson Wright and Jakes [2011] ICR 60
- R (Milner) v South Central Strategic Health Authority [2011] EWHC 218 (Admin)
- R (Rowe) v Parole Board [2010] EWHC 524
- Attorney General's Reference (No. 3 of 1999) [2010] 1 AC 145
- R (Tabernacle) v MOD [2009] EWCA Civ 23, The Times 25 February 2009
- R (Gulliver) v Parole Board [2007] EWCA Civ 1386
- R (Gray) v Legal Services Ombudsman [2007] EWHC 215 (Admin)

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

- Blum and others v Director of Public Prosecutions [2006] EWHC 3209 (Admin)
- R (Singh) v Chief Constable of West Midlands Police [2006] EWCA Civ 532 [2006] 1 WLR 3374
- R (Haw) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2006] EWCA Civ 532 [2006] QB 780
- R (Boughton and others) v HM Treasury [2006] EWCA Civ 504
- R (Elias) v Secretary of State for Defence [2005] EWHC 1435 (Admin), The Times, August 25, 2005

Immigration & Nationality

- OA (Nigeria) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2009] EWCA Civ 1065
- OM (Returning Citizens, minorities, religion) Uzbekistan v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2007] UKAIT 00045
- AI (Nigeria) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2007] EWCA Civ 707
- DK (Serbia) and others v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2006] EWCA Civ 1747 [2007] 2 All ER 483
- R (Refugee Legal Centre) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2004] EWCA Civ 1481 [2005] 1 WLR 2219

Professional Discipline

- Nwogbo v GMC [2012] EWHC 2666 (Admin)
- Uddin v GMC (unreported) 2 February 2012)
- Fisher v Dorset Primary Care Trust (2012), unreported
- Naheed v GMC [2011] EWHC 702 (Admin)
- R (Unison) v Monitor [2009] EWHC 3221 (Admin)

Employment

- Seldon v Clarkson Wright and Jakes [2011] ICR 60, CA
- Grundy v Kier (ET)
- Brown v Epsom (ET)
- Parmar v Commerzbank (ET)
- Khan v Vignette Europe Limited (EAT, 22 April 2009)
- CAB Automative v Blake (EAT, 12 February 2008)
- D & H Travel v Foster (EAT, 2 August 2006)

Previous experience

Before coming to the Bar, David graduated in History and then completed an M.Phil in Political Thought and Intellectual History at Cambridge University. He also worked as an employment lawyer for the Free Representation Unit (FRU) prior to joining Blackstone Chambers.

Other interests

David has a strong background in music and plays in the leading non-professional orchestras in London.

clerks@blackstonechambers.com
+44 (0)20 7583 1770

VAT registration number: 798529358

Barristers regulated by the Bar Standards Board